

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address Olika 150
Absorbina Vigora 22211/19
were upon nor

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DUCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/832,069	04/10/2001	Marschall S. Runge	D6179CIP	8710	
7	590 05/15/2003				
Benjamin Aaron Adler ADLER & ASSOCIATES 8011 Candle Lane			FXAMINER GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
			1634		

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/832,069	RUNGE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeanine A Goldberg	1634		

		Jeanine A Goldberg	1634						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
	THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY OHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE TAX REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of limit may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension.								
	fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expansion date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)								
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c)									
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, tim canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				amendment					
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi- <u>Continuation Sheet.</u>	dered but does NO	Γ place the					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becar aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
	 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			ind an					
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
l	Claim(s) allowed: 6-10.								
	Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 4.								
l	Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 11-13.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.									
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine									
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
	10. Other:								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The instant amendments raise new issues. Claim 3 is proposed to be cancelled. Claim 4 depends upon Claim 3, however, 3 was proposed to be cancelled. Therefore, Claim 4 would have depended upon a cancelled claim.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The response argues the amendment overcomes the rejections under 102 for claims 1-2. This argument is directed solely to the unentered amendment.

With respect to the 103 rejection over Claims 11-13, the response traverses the rejection. The response argues each of the references individually. The response argues specifically that Hermstadt does not teache the quantification of mtDNA damage nor atherosclerosis a a disease associated with altered mitochondrial function. The Hermstadt reference does teache the criteria of alterations in mitochondria function as measured by the amounts of DNA present in the sample as a way to evaluate efficacy of a drug, as asserted by the response Therefore, given the teachings in the art that mtDNA damage is associated with atherosclerosis and the teachings of Hermstadt that alterations/damage that affected mitochondrial function may be evaluated for efficacy of a drug, the ordinary artisan would have been motivated to screen for drug efficacy. As previously provided in the rejection, once mtDNA damage is associated with atherosclerosis, methods of determining drug efficiacy is obvious There is a reasonable expectation of success for the screening assay of Claims 11-13. The claims do not require any specific result. Therefore a mere screening of agents would have a responsable expectation of success.

Therefore, the after final response fails to place the case in condition for allowance.

W. Gary Jones Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

seriot yish //v in inv Patent Examiner 0001 rement too.